UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Rakeesh Dixit	
Plaintiff(s)	CIVIL ACTION
V.	CIVIL ACTION
	NO. <u>05-cv-10379 JLT</u>
Core Inc. Defendant(s)	-
Defendant(3)	
	ORDER OF REFERENCE
ΔΙΤ	<u>FOR</u> ERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
<u></u>	
After consultation with couns	el and after consideration of the various alternative dispute resolution
programs (ADR) available, I find this	matter appropriate for ADR and accordingly, refer this case to
	for the following ADR program:
SCREENING CON	IFERENCE EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
X MEDIATION	MINI-TRIAL
SUMMARY JURY	
SPECIAL MASTER	
	` IATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM
FRIVATE ALTERN	IATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM
Harry Commence of the Commen	and the contract of the decrease for the contract of the term
·	and place designated for the proceeding, counsel are directed to be
	opriate settlement authority and to provide any written documentation
which may be required for the particu	lar ADR program. If counsel is engaged on trial or has any
scheduling conflict and a continuance	e becomes necessary, the provider of the ADR program and other
counsel should be advised of the con	flict immediately.
November 17, 2006	/s/ Joseph L. Tauro
DATE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	CASE CATEGORY
Admiralty	Antitrust
Civil Rights	Contract
Copyright/Trademark/Patent	 Environmental
ERISA	 FELA
Labor Relations	Medical Malpractice
Personal Injury	Product Liability
Shareholder Dispute	
Other	
(ADR Referral, wpd - 4/12/2000)	[orefadr.]